UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

Penalty For Private Use, \$300 Official Business

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

THE PLANT OF THE PARTY OF THE P

明 070 NHXHI

SENDER AND ADDRESSED FORWARD NOT DELIVERABLE TO UNABLE TO LA PIENT DELIVERABLE TO

06/18/09

0 0

22313145050 .. OO:

*1017. 14049.09.0B habarbar Hambarbar Hambarbar Hambard

0702231561450

LINETED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE			UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,348	04/07/2006	Olivier Bezencon	AC-02-US	2013
Thomas Hoxie	7590 06/09/2009	EXAMINER		
Hoxie & Tso			RAO, SAVITHA M	
374 Millburn A Suite 300E	venue	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Millburn, NJ 07	7041	1614		
			MAIL DATE	DEĻIVERY MODE
			06/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Notice of Abandonment	10/575,348	BEZENCON ET	AL.			
Notice of Abandonnient	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SAVITHA RAO	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
This application is abandoned in view of:			•			
1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Month, period for reply (including a total extension of time of).	failing or Transmission dated) month(s)) which expired on	, which is after the	•			
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does			-			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of	•				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) No reply has been received.						
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8)		the statutory period	of three months			
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	·			
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).	ired by, and within the three-month p	eriod set in, the No	tice of			
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Trans	smission dated), which is			
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.						
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire in	nterest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a represe	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair		e the period for see	king court review			
7. The reason(s) below:						
Attached: Examiner's interview summary	,					
/Ardin Marschel/	/SAVITHA RAO/					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614	Examiner, Art Unit 1614					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra minimize any negative effects on patent term.	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to			

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/575,348	BEZENCON ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SAVITHA RAO	1614				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>SAVITHA RAO</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Thomas Hoxie</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 17 April 2009.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>NA</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>NA</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner called Mr Thomas Hoxie on 04/17/2009 with regards to the potential abondenment of case 10575348 due to the outstanding office action. Mr. Hoxie stated that the case has been abondoned.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
		•				
•						
··	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
/SAVITHA RAO/ Examiner, Art Unit 1614		·				